Journal of Mental Health and Behavioural Science

ScitechJournals

Open Access

Belief and the Human Mind: A Neurocognitive and Psychological Review of Belief as a Human Construct

Abstract

Belief constitutes a central cognitive mechanism through which humans interpret reality, regulate emotion, and organize behaviour. Contemporary psychological and neuroscientific research increasingly conceptualizes belief not as evidence of external truth claims, but as an internally generated representational system shaped by evolutionary pressures, cognitive constraints, and social learning processes. This review synthesizes findings from cognitive psychology, neuroscience, social psychology, and developmental science to examine belief formation, stabilization, and revision. Emphasis is placed on belief as a natural product of human cognition one that fulfills adaptive, emotional, and predictive functions, regardless of the ontological status of its content. Neuroimaging evidence suggests that belief persistence is closely linked to self-referential and affective neural networks, offering insight into why certain belief systems resist empirical correction [1–3].

Keywords

Belief Systems, Cognition, Neuropsychology, Belief Formation, Belief Change, Meaning-Making, Cognitive Bias

Review Article

Nora Manseur*

Author and independent researcher, Annaba, Algeria

***Correspondence:** Nora Manseur, author & independent researcher, Annaba, Algeria.
E-mail: nora.mans.official@gmail.com

Received: 11 Feb, 2026; **Accepted:** 27 Feb, 2026; **Published:** 11 Mar, 2026.

Introduction

Belief is a pervasive feature of human mental life. It shapes perception, memory, emotion, and action, influencing how individuals navigate uncertainty and construct meaning. While belief is often discussed in religious or ideological contexts, psychological science approaches belief more fundamentally as a cognitive mechanism that enables humans to impose coherence on an otherwise complex and ambiguous world [4].

From a scientific standpoint, belief does not require correspondence with objective reality to exert psychological influence. Rather, its power lies in its subjective plausibility and emotional resonance. This perspective has gained traction as cognitive and neuroscientific research increasingly frames belief as a by-product of evolved mental systems designed for prediction, social cohesion, and emotional regulation rather than truth verification per se [5].

Conceptual Foundations of Belief in Psychological Science

Belief as a Cognitive Representation

In cognitive psychology, beliefs are typically defined as relatively stable mental representations that individuals hold to be true and use to guide inference and behaviour [6]. Van Leeuwen and Lombrozo argue that belief occupies a multidimensional space, varying in flexibility, emotional loading, and susceptibility to evidence [7]. This framework allows belief to be studied without privileging its content, focusing instead on its functional role within cognition.

Importantly, this approach aligns with a naturalistic understanding of belief: beliefs emerge from normal cognitive operations such as categorization, pattern detection, and causal inference processes known to operate even in the absence of accurate external feedback [8].

Formation and Reinforcement of Beliefs

Belief formation is driven by an interaction between sensory input, prior knowledge, and affective evaluation. Empirical studies show that emotionally salient experiences disproportionately influence belief acquisition, even when such experiences lack evidentiary robustness [9]. Over time, repetition and social reinforcement further stabilize belief structures, making them resistant to contradiction.

From a neurocognitive perspective, belief consolidation relies on associative learning mechanisms and reward-based reinforcement, suggesting that beliefs persist not because they are true, but because they are cognitively and emotionally efficient [10].

Neurocognitive Correlates of Belief and Belief Change

Neural Networks Supporting Belief Stability

Functional neuroimaging studies consistently implicate several brain systems in belief maintenance:

Prefrontal Cortex (PFC): evaluation, justification, and narrative coherence [11]

Default Mode Network (DMN): self-referential processing and autobiographical integration [12]

Limbic structures: emotional tagging and motivational salience [13]

Heightened activation within self-referential networks has been associated with resistance to belief change, particularly when beliefs are tied to identity or existential meaning [1]. This suggests that belief persistence may reflect psychological self-protection rather than rational assessment.

Neuropsychology of Belief Revision

Belief change is cognitively demanding and neurologically costly. Studies indicate that counterevidence alone is often insufficient; belief revision requires disruption of emotional and identity-related neural processes [14]. When belief systems provide psychological comfort or existential coherence, neural mechanisms may actively inhibit updating, favoring stability over accuracy [15].

Such findings support models in which belief functions primarily as a regulatory system rather than an epistemic one.

Belief and Core Psychological Processes

Perception

Beliefs exert top-down influence on perception, shaping how ambiguous stimuli are interpreted. Experimental evidence demonstrates that individuals are more likely to perceive information consistent with pre-existing beliefs, reflecting predictive processing biases inherent in the human brain [16].

Memory

Memory is reconstructive, not archival. Beliefs influence:

Encoding: selective attention to belief-consistent information

Retrieval: biased recall favoring coherence

Reconstruction: narrative reshaping aligned with belief frameworks

These processes contribute to the subjective continuity of identity, even at the expense of factual accuracy [17].

Emotional Regulation

Beliefs often function as emotional regulators, offering interpretive frameworks that reduce anxiety and uncertainty. While this can enhance resilience, rigid belief systems may impair adaptive coping when they conflict with lived experience or empirical feedback [18].

Developmental and Social Dimensions of Belief

Social Transmission

Beliefs are rarely formed in isolation. Developmental psychology highlights the role of authority figures, cultural narratives, and social imitation in belief acquisition [19]. Social reinforcement increases belief confidence independently of evidential strength, underscoring belief's relational function.

Cognitive Flexibility and Belief Diversity

Contrary to deficit models, belief diversity reflects normal variation in cognitive style and environmental exposure. Cognitive flexibility the capacity to revise beliefs is associated with executive functioning and openness to experience, traits linked to adaptive reasoning [20].

Developmental and Social Dimensions of Belief

Education: Understanding belief dynamics can improve conceptual change strategies in science education [21].

Health Psychology: The Health Belief Model demonstrates how perceived risk and efficacy rather than objective data drive behaviour [22].

Intergroup Relations: Belief systems shape social identity and conflict, often operating below conscious awareness [23].

Discussion

The evidence reviewed suggests that belief is best understood as a psychologically functional system optimized for

meaning, coherence, and emotional stability rather than objective truth tracking. Beliefs persist when they serve cognitive economy and emotional needs, even in the presence of disconfirming evidence.

This perspective does not negate the personal significance of belief but situates it firmly within the architecture of the human mind. By adopting a naturalistic framework, psychology can explain belief phenomena without invoking external metaphysical assumptions, relying instead on observable cognitive and neural mechanisms.

Conclusion

Belief is a universal feature of human cognition, arising from evolved psychological systems designed to manage uncertainty and social complexity. A scientific examination of belief reveals it as a human construct powerful, meaningful, and psychologically real yet grounded in natural cognitive processes. Understanding belief in this way allows for a more nuanced and empirically grounded account of human thought, one that privileges explanation over validation.

References

1. Kossowska, Małgorzata, Paulina Szwed, Gabriela Czarnek, Sofiia Honcharowa, Aleksandra Domagalik, and Łucja Doradzińska. “Neural correlates of belief change in political and non-political domains among left-wing individuals confronted with counterarguments.” Scientific Reports (2026).
2. Seitz, Rüdiger J., Raymond F. Paloutzian, and Hans-Ferdinand Angel. “From believing to belief: a general theoretical model.” Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience 30, no. 9 (2018): 1254-1264.
3. Sharot, Tali, Christoph W. Korn, and Raymond J. Dolan. “How unrealistic optimism is maintained in the face of reality.” Nature neuroscience 14, no. 11 (2011): 1475-1479.
4. Dennett, Daniel Clement. Breaking the spell. London: Allen Lane, 2006.
5. Boyer, Pascal. Minds make societies: How cognition explains the world humans create. Yale University Press, 2018.
6. Eagly, Alice H., and Shelly Chaiken. The psychology of attitudes. Harcourt brace Jovanovich college publishers, 1993.
7. Van Leeuwen, Neil, and Tania Lombrozo. “The puzzle of belief.” Cognitive science 47, no. 2 (2023): e13245.
8. Kahneman, Daniel. “Fast and slow thinking.” Allen Lane and Penguin Books, New York 2 (2011).
9. 9. Pessoa, Luiz. “On the relationship between emotion and cognition.” Nature reviews neuroscience 9, no. 2 (2008): 148-158.
10. Schultz, Wolfram. “Neuronal reward and decision signals: from theories to data.” Physiological reviews 95, no. 3 (2015): 853-951.
11. Miller, Earl K., and Jonathan D. Cohen. “An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function.” Annual review of neuroscience 24, no. 1 (2001): 167-202.
12. Buckner, Randy L., Jessica R. Andrews□Hanna, and Daniel L. Schacter. “The brain’s default network: anatomy, function, and relevance to disease.” Annals of the new York Academy of Sciences 1124, no. 1 (2008): 1-38.
13. LeDoux, Joseph. “Rethinking the emotional brain.” Neuron 73, no. 4 (2012): 653-676.
14. Lewandowsky, Stephan, Ullrich KH Ecker, Colleen M. Seifert, Norbert Schwarz, and John Cook. “Misinformation and its correction: Continued influence and successful debiasing.” Psychological science in the public interest 13, no. 3 (2012): 106-131.
15. Friston, Karl. “The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory?.” Nature reviews neuroscience 11, no. 2 (2010): 127-138.
16. Clark, A. Surfing Uncertainty’: Oxford University Press. 2016.
17. Schacter, Daniel L. “Constructive memory: past and future.” Dialogues in clinical neuroscience 14, no. 1 (2012): 7-18.
18. Gross, James J., ed. Handbook of emotion regulation. Guilford publications, 2013.
19. Harris, Paul L. Trusting what you’re told: How children learn from others. Harvard University Press, 2012.
20. Plomin, Robert, and Ian J. Deary. “Genetics and intelligence differences: five special findings.” Molecular psychiatry 20, no. 1 (2015): 98-108.
21. Sinatra, Gale M., and Viviane Seyranian. “Warm change about hot topics: The role of motivation and emotion in attitude and conceptual change about controversial science topics.” In Handbook of educational psychology, pp. 259-270. Routledge, 2015.
22. Rosenstock, Irwin M. “Historical origins of the health belief model.” Health education monographs 2, no. 4 (1974): 328-335.
23. Tajfel, Henri, and John C. Turner. “The social identity theory of intergroup behaviour.” Psychology of intergroup relations 2 (1986): 7-24.

Citation: : Nora Manseur. “Belief and the Human Mind: A Neurocognitive and Psychological Review of Belief as a Human Construct.” J. Mental Health Behav. Sci (2026):107. DOI: 10.59462/JMHBS.2.1.107