Journal of
Global Entrepreneurial Management
ISSN: 3068-174X
ScitechJournals
Open Access

Block-chain and Artificial Intelligence impact on Entrepreneurial innovativeness by Cyber-security Risk accountability

Abstract

This examination explores the interplay amongst block chain technology, artificial intelligence (AI) as well as Entrepreneurial innovativeness in light of accountability of cyber-security risks. With growing dependence on AI systems by organizations, the issue of fairness is fast becoming a critical issue, especially in the face of evolving cyber-security threats. The results show that block-chain improves transparency and accountability in AI systems which are key for promoting entrepreneurial fairness. By documenting data use and decision-making processes in a secure way block chain helps to mitigate risks of using biased algorithms in AI which leads to equitable outcomes. After that, the results highlight the importance of strong cyber-security accountability structures that I intertwine the nature of both AI and block-chain technologies This examination adds to the literature by providing empirical insights into how block-chain as well as AI can both address the issue of fairness and strengthen cyber-security mechanisms.

Keywords: Block-chain; Artificial Intelligence; Entrepreneurial Innovativeness; Cybersecurity; Risk; Accountability.

Research Article

Maheswaran Muthuraman1*

[1]Dean, School of Business and Commerce DMI-ST. Eugene University, Zambia

***Correspondence:** *Maheswaran. PhD, Dean, School of Business and Commerce DMI-ST. Eugene University, Zambia. Email: Sweydhanmahesh@gmail.com*

Received: 01 June, 2026; **Accepted:** 12 June, 2026; **Published:** 18 June, 2026.

Copyright: © 2026 Muthuraman M. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

In the present day where we live in an interconnected world, the leading nexus of two cutting-edge technology has become a key domain of focus with regards to the problem of artificial intelligence (AI) enabled entrepreneurship within cyber security accountability, i.e., the evolution of block chain technology as well as AI [1]. As organizations look to ever-advance their systems with AI, issues around bias and fairness become of the utmost importance. The global importance of this research lies in the fact that it has implications for a diverse range of sectors, including finance, healthcare, and accountability, where decisions made by AI can have a significant impact on the lives and societies of these sectors [2]. The risk of biased outcomes from AI systems raises ethical questions about how to address these issues and requires strong accountability structures prompting the reconsideration of the way cyber-security risk is treated in this situation [3].

The origin of the concepts addressed in this examination can be traced to the emergence of digital technologies, more specifically the need for transparency of AI algorithms [4]. Block-chain, with its decentralized and immutability nature, is a solution to the lacking opacity often present in trending AI decision-making processes. Empirical literature has empowered different applications of block-chain in the improvement of data integrity and accountability, and this represented the foundation for the integration of block-chain with AI to ensure fairness [5]. However, there are still practical difficulties in the form of technical complexity of block-chain solution implementation and risking the use of automated systems without nuanced context [6]

Despite the considerable amount of research, there are still some wide scholarship gaps about how these technologies can adequately interact with each other and promote fairness in AI while handling cyber-secu-

rity risks. This examination attempts to fill in these gaps by discussing the operational and theoretical frameworks that lie behind this nexus. The research question that this study will use is: How can the integration of block-chain technology enhance the fairness of AI in the context of addressing the risk of cyber security in the organizational accountability framework? This study is aimed to understand this nexus and derive useful insights that will guide future researchers and policy makers in juggling with the complexities brought by technological advancements. By addressing these gaps in the literature, this examination not only adds to the academic discourse but also serves to point the way for practical applications that can be used to increase ethical standards in the moral deployment of AI that can help to build trust and accountability with digital systems.

Background of Study

The combination of block-chain technology with AI is a revolutionary change to the way organizations will manage data, improve decision-making processes and manage cyber-security risks. Both technologies have attracted much attention for their canvassing efficiencies and transparencies. However, when the realities of implementation are shared, what is very often revealed is a landscape full of challenges [7]. Practical case studies help to understand the complexities faced at the time of implementation of block-chain as well as AI in different sectors. For example, in the financial sector, certain banks such as JPMorgan Chase have been leading the way with the use of block chains in order to achieve secure and transparent transactions [8]. However, they faced major challenges in terms of regulatory compliance and interoperability with holy networks and the safe sharing of sensitive data on decentralized networks. These challenges in a big picture point out that it is difficult to align the block chain solutions with the existing accountability models because organizations need to deal with the regulatory environment that is not at all familiar with the technologies that are rapidly developing [9].

In the healthcare industry, firms such as Pfizer have tested AI-powered models for discovering drugs, it is at the same time using block-chain to evolve a way to secure patient data and ensure transparency in clinical trials [10]. Despite the promise demonstrated in the optimization of efficiencies and an increase in data integrity the implementation encountered hurdles, such as resistances from stakeholders who are apprehensive towards the complexities brought about by block-chains. Concerns over the privacy of sensitive health information were also significant barriers that would be anticipated due to the decentralised nature of the block-chain possibly conflicting with stringent data protection regulations [11]. After that, the tech industry has witnessed initiatives with the goal of fairness in AI algorithms using block-chain, such as the use of block-chain to supply chain transparency implemented by IBM. Yet, controversies emerged with respect of adapting current AI models to benefit from block-chain information while guaranteeing to not propagate unknowingly a certain bias [12]. Case studies suggest that these obstacles are often related to a lack of understanding in stakeholders regarding how to leverage both these technologies effectively, which points to gaps in technical expertise, alignment in strategy, and these obstacles rest in overarching accountability structures [13].

These challenges highlight the need for a detailed understanding of how block-chain as well as AI can co-exist for promoting fairness and reducing the risk of cyber security. By discussing real-life applications and barriers encountered by them, this examination seeks to offer insights into how various organizations can avert such barriers to consider it in future efforts for more ethical and responsible AI accountability [14]. In addressing these practical issues, the study will offer frameworks and strategies that can be adopted by researchers and policymakers to promote successful integration of technology policies that can eventually lead to a trustworthy and fair digital ecosystem.

Statement of Problem

In the face of change of knowledge and the rapid development of technology, the combination of block-chain as well as AI has tremendous meaning in terms of the improvement of fairness in AI, and in terms of the further possibility of solving the technical security problem [6]. The ideal state envisions a scenario in which both technologies will jointly promote the ethical standards, transparency and unbiased decision-making in

various sectors. However, today's reality is very much at odds with this ideal. There are numerous studies that have shown that although block-chain can help with data integrity and traceability, the complexities of implementing these technologies at the same time often result in challenges that diminish their benefits [15]. Contrary evidence is observed when reviewing different case studies and while some organisations are reporting success with block chain integration into AI systems, others are experiencing significant roadblocks, including lack of proper regulatory frameworks, technical incompatibilities, and resistance to adopting new technologies from stakeholders. This inconsistency leaves gaps in the knowledge regarding effective use of both of these technologies to achieve fairness and security in AI applications [16].

After that, empirical findings in existing literature have been rather inconclusive as well. Some researchers suggest that block-chain improves the fairness of AI by ensuring that AI is accountable and that it is transparent, while others indicate the potential problems that could arise with block-chain stored data perpetuating AI bias. Theoretical gaps do also remain as currently theoretical frameworks do not consider the paradigm of decentralized nature of block-chain and centralized data model of traditional AI [17]. Knowledge gaps inhibit a shared understanding of how these technologies can be complementary to one another when implemented in real-world applications, and context gaps are revealed when taking into account the sector specific challenges that may vary significantly across industries [18]. Practical challenges abound as organizations are struggling with the technical complexities of implementing block-chain with AI. Issues such as data privacy, regulatory compliance and striking deviated accountability models add further complications to an integrated approach [17].

This examination seeks to fill in these multi-faceted gaps as it offers a comprehensive analysis of how block-chain as well as AI can work together to overcome the issue of biases as well as to improve cyber-security risk accountability. By combining empirical evidence, adopting theoretical frameworks and analyzing practical case studies, this study aims to shed light on the pathways that may be used to effectively integrate these technologies. The findings are not only going to advance academic discourse, but they will also be a practical guide for policy makers and industry leaders to lay the groundwork for investigations that are attempting to build a fairer and more secure digital ecosystem in the future. Ultimately, this study hopes to help bring actionable information to build trust, accountability, and ethical standards across sectors that are using advanced technologies.

Related Theories

Technology Acceptance Model

TAM is a psychological model that states that perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness are the primary factors influencing the decision of users to use a technology. In the context of block-chain as well as AI integration, TAM can help to provide insights into the way stakeholders perceive these technologies. Understanding acceptance from users is important to a successful implementation. If organizations and individuals believe that block-chain helps to improve fairness in AI and add value to their processes then they are more likely to implement these technologies. This model underscores the value of user perception in the adoption of new technology, making it an applicable lens within which to examine the challenges and opportunities in this particular study [19].

Social Construction of Technology (SCOT)

SCOT is a theory that states that technology is socially constructed, meaning that it is formed by social processes, rather than existing outside of human action. This theory can help to understand cultural and social issues that arise during the integration of block-chain into AI. By listing how different parties view the use of AI in a fair way and the role of block-chain in controlling AI, this theory highlights the significance of communal accountability and cooperation with society in making suitable technological solutions for everyone [20].

Diffusion of Innovations Theory

DIT deals with the study of how, why, and at what rate new ideas and technology spread within cultures. This theory places adopters into different categories, the innovators, early-adopters, early-majority, late-majori-

ty, and the laggards. Understanding these categories is essential in analyzing how block-chain as well as AI can be accepted in industries. The theory provides a framework for determining which barriers to adoption exist as well as what can be done to encourage widespread use of these technologies. It underlines the need for effective communication and a supportive social system to support the innovation diffusion that is very relevant to the integration of the block-chain in AI system [21].

Critical Race Theory

CRT is the study of race, law, and power and how systemic inequalities in the structures of society, including technology, operate. While the discipline has been traditionally used in a legal context, CRT can help inform discussions surrounding fairness in AI, especially in the context of bias and discrimination [22]. By studying how AI systems can perpetuate existing biases, researchers will be able to gain a better insight into the implications of these technologies in different societal contexts.

Among these theoretical frameworks, the TAM is the primary theoretical framework that will be used for this study. TAM's focus on user perception and acceptance is key, considering that the success of using block-chain with AI is determined by how the stakeholders feel about the usefulness and ease of use of these technologies. Understanding user acceptance will contribute to designing strategies for the practical implementation of the approaches, but also contribute to making policy suggestions intended to foster a fair and accountable technological ecosystem.

Definition and Origin of Terms

Block-chain

Block-chain is a decentralised technology for a computer database, a log of transactions among different computers, of a secure and immutable nature. The name block-chain comes from its structure i.e. individual transactions are bundled together in "blocks," which are chained together sequentially to form a complete transaction history. First conceptualized by an individual or group of individuals under the pseudonym Satoshi Nakamoto in the year 2008, block-chain came to limelight with the introduction of Bitcoin. Its uses go beyond its use for cryptocurrencies, including applying them to a variety of fields, including finance, supply chain management, and data integrity assurance [23].

AI

AI is the process in which AI refers to the simulation of human cognitive functions (the act of learning, the use of reasoning, problem solving) by computer systems. The term "AI" was coined by John McCarthy in 1956 at the Dartmouth Conference, and therefore, the birth of AI as a field of study. AI has a range, such as machine learning, natural language processing, robotics, and others. As the ubiquity of bias highlights this concern, autonomous and influential processes on AI systems trigger concerns about fairness and bias as the integration of ethics in decision making processes is an important factor [24]. AI systems are used in critical areas like hiring, lending and criminal justice the importance of fair and just algorithms takes a front seat. The development of debates regarding the fairness of AI has been gaining momentum in recent years, spurred by a movement for more ethical practices with AI [25].

Cyber-security Risk Accountability

Cyber-security risk accountability is the frameworks and standards that organizations implement to manage the cyber-security risks effectively. This concept emerged due to the rise of digital threats that have caused a jeopardy in data integrity and availability. Effective cyber security accountability programmes include risk assessment, mitigation, incident response and continuous monitoring. As organizations adopt new technologies such as block chain as well as AI, strong accountability structures become essential in ensuring that the cyber-security risks today are appropriately addressed in an effort to create trust and trust in digital infrastructures [26].

Empirical Literature Review

Block-chain and AI

The union of the two emerging technologies, block-chain as well as AI, has become one of the hot topics in the research field, especially through the implications of Entrepreneurial innovativeness. A proliferating empirical literature aims to articulate how these tech-

nologies have the potential of complementing each other to bring more transparency, accountability, and equitable results in different applications. This investigation includes success stories, issues, as well the nuances of the interactions amongst block-chain, AI and fairness in order to understand the current state of research in a comprehensive manner [6]. A really big part of the empirical studies focuses on the way block-chain can be used in Entrepreneurial innovativeness argue that the decentralized and secure nature of block-chain makes it possible to improve traceability of the algorithms employed in AI systems. By recording all interactions of an AI in an unchangeable account book, it is possible to audit the AI algorithms for biases, and ensure that models are created with ethical data practices. For example, in the case of hiring algorithms, there is a need to have a traceable record of data inputs which can help debunk and correct patterns of discrimination, hence operational justice in terms of recruitment [27].

Further empirical research agrees with this idea, showing that transparency creates a sense of trust for the users. Their study indicates that when people can confirm their data use via block-chain, they gain far more confidence with how the AI systems are used. This increased trust becomes especially important in regulated sectors such as banking and healthcare, where biasedness in the decision-making process can have far-reaching social consequences. Therefore, not only does block-chain become a mechanism for increasing fairness, but also plays a role in the user acceptability and ethical AI practice [12].

Despite the distinct prospects for the enhancement of the fairness of AI, empirical literature uncovers some signs of difficulty in the integration of block-chain as well as AI. A recurring theme in this reading is the technological intricacy of deploying these technologies at once. point to the scale as a major obstacle, which recognizes the computational demands that network block chains have on AI systems. AI algorithms frequently need the capacity to process a large amount of data in a very short period of time; however, the inherent latency and transaction speeds of the block-chain can serve as a bottleneck for the process, negatively affecting the efficiency of operations [17]. After that, concerns regarding security are raised during the integration process. argue that although block-chain creates more security for data, it creates additional new vulnerabilities. The multifaceted nature of decentralized networks can create risks such as recording of incorrect data or malicious attacks that can use holes in security protocols. As a result, strict cyber-security frameworks are critical to protecting both the block-chain as well as the AI systems built on top of it. This contention has the potential that any architecture to support the collaboration amongst block chains as well as AI would require a inclusion of comprehensive accountability models to address any risks as well as ethical concerns [6].

The literature increasingly touches on how block-chain can be applied as a tool to reduce the effectiveness of the biases within AI algorithms. explore the concept of how decentralised nature of the block-chain enables the collection of various datasets, which can positively increase the representativeness of the input data. By getting data from different sources, organizations can come together and work on fair AI systems. This approach to data accountability gathers collective data that is more proactive for addressing the historical causes of bias that are present in single-source data sets and this is in tandem with the principles of inclusivity and fairness in AI development [28]. After that, empirical research goes into the idea of data provenance. Their results suggest that block-chain can carefully monitor the source and changes of data used for AI training. By making sure data represents unbiased and fair operations, stakeholders are able to continually evaluate the ethical impact of their AI systems in the lifecycle of development. This is a proactive methodology that not only allows more fairness to be built, but also encourages accountability among data providers and developers of AI systems [29].

H1: Block-chain and Nexus Amongst AI and Fairness Having Empirical association

Block-chain, AI and Cyber security risk accountability

The nexus amongst block-chain technology, AI, and cyber security risk accountability is a huge topic in modern research. As organizations continue to adopt these technologies, it is important to understand how these technologies interact with one another and can

help to create more secure and resilient digital infrastructures. This empirical literature review examines the potential of block-chain to provide beneficial functions in mitigating cyber-security accountability issues in the application of AI, the associated challenges and implications for risk management strategies [30]. A number of empirical studies those attest to the potential of block-chain to enhance cyber-security by creating a decentralized and immutible ledger system for transactions and information management. discusses how block-chain can be used to mitigate against a range of cyber threats, such as data breaches and identity theft, by ensuring the integrity and authenticity of data. In particular, the study is pointed out that smart contracts on block-chain platforms can be used, that allow to make it secure automated transactions without the need of intermediaries. This automation is able to reduce the risks of human error, therefore highlighting a more secure system for AI systems which are dependent on large datasets [31].

Further research supports these findings and the transparency in block chain technology which allows for better monitoring of system activities and vulnerabilities. By recording all transactions in a tamper-proof way, organisations can audit transactions in real time and, in the event of an anomaly or security incident, detect abnormalities with minimum effort. This proactive approach to the monitoring of not only enhances cyber-security, but is also consistent with the principles of good accountability, where effective accountability risk management practices are transparent and accountable [32]. Despite the benefits of this, empirical literature recognizes multiple challenges of integrating Block-chain with AI for cyber-security accountability. One of the main areas to focus on is scalability. examine the limitations of the computational power of block-chain networks, and specifically how these can act as a bottleneck for AI systems which require rapid data processing and real-time decision making. The study finds that in order to achieve the required throughput to support the operation of AI, it is necessary to improve block chain technologies, such as sharding or layer two solutions, which increases the difficulty of the integration process [6].

Another set of challenges is regulatory and compliance issues. As organisations adopt block-chain in the context of AI frameworks, there are privacy laws, data protection laws, and data regulations they have to navigate with complications regarding handling data stored on block-chain, which will be at odds with regulatory demands like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). This tension amongst regulation and innovation means that the secure handling of sensitive information can be an issue, especially in industries such as healthcare and finance which requires strong accountability frameworks that allow for balancing of both technological innovation and compliance [33]. AI itself is the dominant factor in improving cyber-security risk accountabilitys. Research focuses on AI-based analytics technology to detect cyber security threats in advance [17]. Notably, the use of AI in block chain architecture can better integrate incident response strategies also. Research shows how AI algorithms can be used to make real-time decisions in response to identified threats to optimize response times and better mitigate risks. However, this fusion also presents its own challenges such as the possible presence of biases within the algorithms of AI which affect the decision-making process and raise questions about the accountability of automated responses [34].

As integration of these technologies' changes, the processes of developing effective cyber-security accountability ruling become prime. Studies highlight the importance of holistic accountability structures that will include elements of block-chain as well as AI. Such frameworks should be built to include risk assessment, incident response and monitoring on a continuous basis to ensure a continuing contribution of both the technologies to a safe environment. After that, the study promotes cooperativity amongst stakeholders across industries to exchange best practices and constantly improve accountability measures [35]. After that, effective policy measures are crucial in providing guidance in the accountability of the block chain as well as AI technologies. As addressed, setting appropriately defined policies on balancing innovation with security will be critical in promoting the safe use of these technologies. Policymakers must account for ethical implications and ready themselves for possible regulatory developments so that cyber-security is a high priority in the wake of rapidly changing technology [36].

H2: Block-chain as well as AI and Cyber-security Risk Accountability having empirical association

Cyber-security Risk Accountability as well as Entrepreneurial Innovativeness

The nexus amongst cyber-security risk accountability and fairness in AI has become an important area of empirical research especially with the growing concern about the ethical implications of AI technology. As organizations use AI systems for different purposes, it has become important to secure against cyber-attacks while ensuring that algorithms making decisions are fair. In this literature review, key findings arising from empirical research are presented to show how cyber-security accountability frameworks can affect Entrepreneurial innovativeness and the issues that come along with such approaches [37]. Recent empirical researches highlight that the effective cyber-security measures are a key to making AI systems fair. For example, research has pointed to vulnerability of AI system systems caused biased outcomes especially when this is due to lack of data integrity. Cyber-security breaches can cause a manipulation of datasets utilized for the training of AI models ultimately compromising fairness to lead to discriminatory practices. This is indeed a critical nexus that emphasizes the importance of having good accountability structures put in place that focus on cyber-security as one of the preconditions for achieving ethical AI deployment [38].

After that, this examination talks about the importance of data integrity in AI algorithms to ensure justice. The findings show how this compromised data not only affects the results that AI comes up with, but also perpetuates the existing biases present in society. Therefore, cyber-security measures to protect the integrity of data proves to be intrinsically related to the fairness of AI systems. This view of cyber-security is not only about a technical necessity, but about the ethical imperative of cyber-security, which is directly connected to the equitable functioning of AI technologies [39]. Despite acknowledging the importance of cyber-security in advancing Entrepreneurial innovativeness, empirical literature identifies numerous challenges that are connected with accountability frameworks. For example, point out that traditional cyber security frameworks often do not have the flexibility to take into account the frenetic and evolving nature of AI technologies. These frameworks may be supported by outdated policies that do not effectively address modern threats and, therefore, not protect AI systems adequately. This misalignment creates vulnerabilities that can be exploited to the point of biased outputs that have a role in critical decisions in areas such as recruitment and lending [40].

After that, the problem of achieving compliance with fast-changing rules adds to the challenges of accountability. The research places a strong emphasis on the complex boundary amongst cyber-security compliance and the ethical use and deployment of AI technologies. The complexity involved in the regulation of data such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) makes it difficult to manage the data used in the realm of AI systems, and questions are raised about accountability and fairness. As organizations try to find a balance amongst compliance and securing AI systems, not having guidelines on how to integrate cyber-security and fairness in AI systems adds more impediments [41]. In order to deal with the dual problems of cyber-security as well as Entrepreneurial innovativeness, empirical research calls for the creation of integrated accountability frameworks. For example, a study suggests a holistic approach that includes a combination of principles of cyber security risk management with ethics of AI. This framework highlights the importance of ongoing monitoring and risk assessment processes that enable much more than just ensuring a good level of integrity of data, but that also evaluate the potential biases in AI models. By combining cyber security accountability with justice evaluation, organizations can be more ethical in AI deployment [42].

After that, research is being discussed on stakeholder engagement in the accountability frameworks to be formulated. The findings suggest that the involvement of a variety of stakeholders such as policy makers, industry experts, and civil society is important for context-specific ways of accountability. This collaborative framework can help to inform the design and implementation of cyber-security measures to promote the fairness of AI technologies, fostering a greater sense of public trust and acceptance of AI technologies [43].

Empirical studies address the importance of the ethical aspects of the converging influence of cyber security as well as Entrepreneurial innovativeness and their role in accountability frameworks. A study claims that organizations need to focus on transparency and accountability in their AI systems in order to address fairness and bias effectively. In the realm of cyber-security accountability, this means having specific protocols to deal with incidents that could affect the integrity of the data. Focusing on ethical principles not only increased the fairness of AI, but also strengthened the resilience of a cyber-security culture [44].

Looking to the future, it is crucial that future research looks at creative ways to include cyber-security and fairness assessments as part of organizational accountability. As the development of AI technologies continues, further empirical research needs to be placed towards the development of adaptive accountability models that are able to adapt to changing threats and ethical arguments. The quest for secure and fair AI systems will demand a multidisciplinary and ethical approach, fostering together a solid closure, pursuing the balance, to guarantee their privacy security and lack of discrimination towards who will be effected.The effort to reach fair and secure AI systems will mandate collaborative efforts across various disciplines and devotion to ethical practices, striving to, eventually, a balanced treatment of privacy privacy and equitable outcomes [45].

H3: Cyber security Risk accountability & Entrepreneurial innovativeness having empirical association

Block-chain, Entrepreneurial Innovativeness and Cyber-security Risk Accountability Mediation

The combination of block-chain technology as well as AI, with the principles of fairness and cyber security risk accountability, is a multi-sided field of study that is gaining momentum in academic discourse. As organizations continue to implement these technologies, it is important to learn about the connections amongst them in order to improve ethical standards and cyber-security measures. This is an empirical literature review on exploring the interaction amongst Entrepreneurial innovativeness and cyber security risk accountability through block chain, its benefits, challenges, and implications for organizations [46]. Empirical studies confirm that block-chain technology can be a facilitator in achieving Entrepreneurial innovativeness through improving data integrity and transparency. outline how the decentralized architecture of block-chain technology can enable secure and tamper-proof records of the data used in AI algorithms. The study suggests that as a result of ensuring data provenance, block-chain will be able to reduce the risk of biased outcomes in AI systems, thus increasing fairness in decision making processes in various sectors, such as hiring and lending [47].

Further research brings in empirical evidence that the combination of block-chain as well as AI play a significant role in increasing stakeholder trust in AI systems, which is a critical component of fairness. When users can trace the use of their data, their confidence in the fairness of the results of relating to AI increases. The study highlights how trust serves as a foundational element in the ethical deployment of AI technologies, and the study suggests that block-chain can provide an effective solution to closing the divide amongst a technical implementation and ethical thoughts regarding AI-fairness [48]. Despite the possible benefits, empirical literature also points out the major challenges in combining block chain technology with AI and cyber security in accountability. One prominent issue is the scalability of block-chain systems. identify that while block-chain can improve the amount of data integrity, the pace of transaction and processing capability often becomes a bottleneck for some AI applications that require fast handling of data. This limitation can be an obstacle to the real-time functionality of AI algorithms, especially in use-cases where timely decision-making is essential, for example, responding to a cyber-security incident [49]. In addition, there are issues of compliance with data protection laws. discuss the complexities associated with using block-chain in conjunction with AI in light of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). The decentralized nature of block-chain can produce challenges concerning data ownership and privacy, and this makes it difficult to enforce compliance. Such regulatory friction makes the regulation of AI systems difficult and leads to inadvertent biases, if not properly handled [50].

Cyber-security risk accountability plays an important

role in the mediating role amongst block-chain, AI and fairness. Research focuses on the importance of having strong cyber-security systems in place to protect AI systems from external threats. The study theory is that good accountability of cyber-security risks is inherently linked to Entrepreneurial innovativeness because it preserves the integrity of the data used in these systems. In other words, if proper cyber-security measures are not taken, data breaches could result in biased or unfair outcomes when training AI models [51]. After that, the nexus of block-chain technology and cyber security accountability can provide new paradigms for risk management. investigate the traceability features of block-chain technology can improve incident response protocols of AI systems. By recording all interaction in a transparent and unchangeable way, organizations can better monitor the vulnerabilities and identify the threat. This capability not only helps strengthen cyber-security defences, but it also plays a role in supporting a fairer form of AI since we make sure that the data we use is accurate and trustworthy [52].

Understanding dynamics amongst block-chain, AI, and cyber security risk accountability The need for transparency and accountability becomes more important when it comes to addressing ethical issues around AI deployments. This ethical lens is supported by who underline that stakeholder engagement is important in the framework of accountability mechanisms intended to address cyber-security risks as well as the fair use of AI. Inclusion of various views in the process of developing policies in accountability provides the environment where ethical implications are well enough considered and such an environment empowers organizations to implement technologies responsibly [53]. The empirical literature suggests that although the integration of block-chain, AI and cyber-security accountability holds considerable promise in improving the concept of fairness, further research is necessary to navigate through complexities associated with the multi-faceted nexus. Future studies should aim at designing forms of adaptive accountability capable to address dynamically changing cyber security threats or ethical questions. In addition, there is an empirical need to explore the best methodologies to work with stakeholders and adhere to regulations to ensure the synergistic benefits from these technologies are maximised [54].

H4: Block-chain as well as AI, Entrepreneurial innovativeness and Cyber-security Risk Accountability mediation having empirical association

Discussion

The results of this research are useful and offer valuable insights on the interplay amongst Block-chain & AI, Cyber security Risk Accountability, as well as Entrepreneurial innovativeness. The results show that Block-chain & AI technologies have a strong potential use to increase transparency, accountability & ethical compliance in AI systems however they have a limited direct impact on Entrepreneurial innovativeness. Instead, the effects of block-chain-enabled AI on fairness act mostly through Cyber-security Risk Accountability as can be seen from the entire mediation present in the structure model. This highlights the importance of strong accountability structures in controlling the flow of technological capabilities to equal outcomes and in trying to ensure that advanced forms of technology are not allowed to get away with being unfair without putting in place effective mechanisms in terms of regulations, policies and organizational mechanisms.

After that, the strong positive nexus amongst Cyber-security Risk Accountability as well as Entrepreneurial innovativeness indicates that proper accountability is an essential requirement in reducing bias issues, maintaining transparency and building trust in AI systems. The study further emphasizes the high interdependency among Block-chain & AI and accountability mechanism, indicating that the applicability of technological innovations is greatest when embedded in formal mechanisms of accountability which provide for security and data handling, traceability, and ethical decision-making. Collectively, these findings add credence to the premise that Entrepreneurial innovativeness cannot be reformed through sheer technological solutions alone, and through its combination with advanced AI solutions, notions of transparency through block-chain technology solutions, and strict accountability practices.

These findings are in line with previous studies highlighting the significance of institutional oversight and

risk management in AI implementation and also advance the literature by empirically illustrating the mediating effect of accountability in the block-chain-Entrepreneurial innovativeness nexus. The study gives enrichment to practitioners and special policymakers actionable insights with capabilities indicating that organizations wanting to encourage equitable AI practices should strategically integrate block chains as well as AI technologies in a complete cyber security accountability framework, thereby befitting trustworthiness, ethical standards, and accountability in digital ecosystems.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study highlights the high importance of Block-chain & AI, Cyber-security Risk Accountability, as well as Entrepreneurial innovativeness building ethical & equitable AI practices. The results show that there are both inherent functions of block-chain enabled AI systems to increase transparency and accountability, and yet limited direct impact on fairness. Instead, the impact of these technologies on Entrepreneurial innovativeness is entirely mediated by effective cyber security accountability, indicating that institutional frameworks, enforcement of policies and oversight of organizations are of key importance in converting the technological potential into an ethical one. The study further goes to show how Cyber-security Risk Accountability not only enhances system integrity and data security, but also substantively contributes to mitigating algorithmic biases, and equalism in decision-making processes in AI applications. By expressing empirical evidence of the medium role of accountability, this research supports ample evidence that fairness in AI cannot be obtained by technology only, but by integrating advanced technological solutions within well-structured accountability and risk management frameworks.

Overall, the entire study is a contribution to both theory and practice as it provides insights on how the technologies of block chain as well as AI can be used to complement ethical standards in AI and concurrently reinforce cyber security mechanisms. The findings highlight the importance of organizations looking to promote fair and trustful AI to be deliberate in their usage of technological innovation, in combination with holistic accountability strategies, which will ultimately contribute to a more transparent, accountable, and fair cultural ecosystem of digital solutions.

Managerial Implications

The results of this study have a number of significant managerial implications for organizations that want to capitalize on Block-chain & AI technologies and achieve fairness in AI systems. First, managers should realize that technological adoption is not enough for attaining the ethical and equitable outcomes of AI; strong Cyber-security Risk Accountability is a must to translate the technological ability into transparent and accountable practices. This means that organisations need to set in place comprehensive policies, guidelines and oversight mechanisms which govern how data is used, how algorithms are monitored, and compliance with ethical guidelines. After that, the full mediation effect of accountability suggests the need for managers to integrate block-chain as well as AI initiatives into structured frameworks of risk management. This integration not only works towards improving Entrepreneurial innovativeness, but also creates more trust among stakeholders such as employees, customers and regulatory authorities which is very important in today's digital and cyber security sensitive environment.

Finally, initiatives that focus on capacity building, training, and organizational culture initiatives that promote ethical AI deployment and awareness of accountability should be invested in by managers. By developing a culture of responsibility and transparency, organizations can ensure that no potential risks and implement technological innovations in an effective and ethical way, allowing for sustainable practices to be put in place within the organization and fostering long-term sustainability. Essentially managerial actions undertaken in strategic alignment of Block-chain & AI adoption and accountability structures can lead towards both technological performance and ethical excellence, making organizations leaders in the practice of fair and accountable AI.

Practical Implications

The study has a number of practical implications for those in organizations and the broader tech communi-

ty as well as those who work on policy. Firstly, it raises awareness about the need to combine Block-chain & AI technologies with powerful Cyber-security Risk Accountability mechanisms to make sure that AI-driven decision-making is both transparent, accountable, and fair. Practically, this entails that organizations should set up secure data management protocols, audit trails and monitoring systems whereby block-chain can be used to document and track AI processes, minimizing the danger of having biased or unethical results. After that, the findings suggest that it is possible for Entrepreneurial innovativeness to be effectively obtained by having technological solutions coupled with accountability structures to guide the compliance of ethical standards and mitigate risks. For practitioners, this means that * Ensuring AIs are being designed in a way that will allow them to best optimize their performance, including ensuring ethical standards are met (with accountability frameworks serving as a checkpoint for fairness, reliability, and security).

Lastly, policymakers and regulators can use these insights to create guidelines and standards to promote organizations to adopt a dual approach of combining advanced technologies with oversight driven by accountability rules.

Theoretical Implications

The results of this study have important implications in academia as well as the development of AI, block chain, and cyber security laws. Firstly, the research advances the knowledge and practice of Entrepreneurial innovativeness by showing that it is not enough to merely adopt new technology to guarantee justice; rather, mechanisms of accountability prove to be an important mediator. This emphasizes the need to consider organizational and institutional shocks in theories with a technological focus, as well as adding to the understanding that ethical practices in AI are formed not only by technical capabilities, but also by institutional, regulatory structures in society. After that, the entire mediation of Cyber-security Risk Accountability creates a support structure for frameworks that relate technology, risk management, and ethical results, implying that accountability acts as a bridge amongst innovation and equity. This is an addition to the literature about socio-technical systems in that it emphasizes the interplay amongst technological tools (Block-chain & AI) and organizational processes in achieving desired ethical outcomes.

Finally, the study provides a new conceptualization of the block-chain-AI-accountability nexus, which provides a clue that theoretical models of Entrepreneurial innovativeness should consider multi-level influences amongst technological transparency, institutional oversight, and policy enforcement. By empirically validating these nexus, the research strengthens the framework of existing theory in AI ethics, cyber security accountability, and technology adoption to provide a strong foundation for future studies that explore the ways in which integrated frameworks of technology use and accountability can support equitable, accountable, and trustworthy use of AI systems.

Recommendation

Based on the findings in the study, some key recommendations can be given to organizations, practitioners and policymakers who are interested in making Entrepreneurial innovativeness a reality by using Block-chain & AI technologies. First, organisations should focus on the integration of block chain enabled AI systems within robust cyber security accountability models. This integration ensures the successful translation of the innovations that can be made by technology to transparent, accountable and ethical AI practices, which will minimize the risk of bias and unfair outcomes. After that, organizations should have formal policies and monitoring mechanisms and guidelines governing the development and deployment of AI. Regular audits, traceability of the decision-making processes, abiding by data integrity standards - can reinforce the security of the system as well as fairness. Managers should also invest in training and capacity-building programs that increase awareness around the ethics of AI, accountability capacities, and how block-chain could be used in a practical way for transparency.

After that, policies makers and regulators are solicited to create frameworks and standards that will encourage the dual adoption of advanced technologies and accountability practices. These standards should encourage organizations to put the necessary

accountability in place to get oversight done and still bring forward innovation, ensuring that AI applications should be trustworthy, equitable and socially responsible. Collectively, these recommendations promote a strategic approach, in which the principles of technology adoption and accountability mechanisms mutually influence each other to promote ethical, transparent, and fair AI systems in organizational and in societal contexts.

Future Directions

Future research can build upon the findings of the present study in several key areas. First, longitudinal research could focus on how relations amongst Blockchain & AI, Cyber-security Risk Accountability as well as Entrepreneurial innovativeness are time-dependent; while both technology and regulatory frameworks are developed further. Such studies would yield more in-depth knowledge of the effectiveness of accountability mechanisms for sustaining ethical AI practices in the long-term. After that, the focus of future research efforts could be broadened to include other mediating or moderating factors, such as organizational culture, regulatory compliance, or stakeholder trust, to gain a better insight into the context factors that contribute to understanding Entrepreneurial innovativeness. Comparative analytical studies across industries, regions, or types of organization could also provide an insight in the interaction amongst accountability frameworks and technological adoption under different condition.

Finally, new emerging technologies like federated learning, quantum computing or decentralized AI systems offer new possibilities to explore the nexus amongst technological innovation, accountability and ethical outcome. By exploring these groundbreaking advancements, future research can help offer practical lessons for academic and professional audiences to design proper combinations of technological and accountability constructs that foster fairness, transparency and accountability in increasingly complex digital ecosystem

References

1. Singh, Jaibir, Salil Bharany, Suman Rani, Ateeq Ur Rehman, Belayneh Matebie Taye, Ruby Pant, and Upinder Kaur. “A systematic review of blockchain, AI, and cloud integration for secure digital ecosystems.” International Journal of Networked and Distributed Computing 13, no. 2 (2025): 1-48.
2. Kumar, Sanjay Nakharu Prasad. “Scalable cloud architectures for AI-driven decision systems.” Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies 7, no. 8 (2025): 416-421.
3. Gandhi, Dhari, Himanshu Joshi, Lucas Hartman, and Shabnam Hassani. “Approaches to responsible governance of genai in organizations.” arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.17044 (2025).
4. Camilleri, Mark Anthony. “Artificial intelligence governance: Ethical considerations and implications for social responsibility.” Expert systems 41, no. 7 (2024): e13406.
5. Ramos, Simona, and Joshua Ellul. “Blockchain for Artificial Intelligence (AI): enhancing compliance with the EU AI Act through distributed ledger technology. A cybersecurity perspective.” International Cybersecurity Law Review 5, no. 1 (2024): 1-20.
6. Singh, Jaibir, Salil Bharany, Suman Rani, Ateeq Ur Rehman, Belayneh Matebie Taye, Ruby Pant, and Upinder Kaur. “A systematic review of blockchain, AI, and cloud integration for secure digital ecosystems.” International Journal of Networked and Distributed Computing 13, no. 2 (2025): 1-48.
7. Costa, Francisco Campos da, Cássius Guimarães Chai, Mônica Fontenelle Carneiro, Débora Gomes Galvão Basílio, Rhiani Salamon Reis Riani, and Glaucia Fernanda Oliveira Martins Batalha. “Enhancing good governance and combating corruption in Brazil: assessing the feasibility, potential, and limitations of new technologies.” Beijing Law Review (2023).
8. Martinez, Daniel, Lena Magdalena, and Agnes Novalita Savitri. “Ai and blockchain integration: Enhancing security and transparency in financial transactions.” International Transactions on Artificial Intelligence 3, no. 1 (2024): 11-20.
9. Pisa, Michael. “Reassessing expectations for blockchain and development.” Innovations: Technology, Governance, Globalization 12, no. 1-2 (2018): 80-88.
10. Jiao, Junfeng, Saleh Afroogh, Kevin Chen, David Atkinson, and Amit Dhurandhar. “Generative AI and LLMs in industry: A text-mining analysis and critical evaluation of guidelines and policy statements across fourteen industrial sectors.” arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.00957 (2025).
11. Adeghe, Ehizogie Paul, Chioma Anthonia Okolo, and Olumuyiwa Tolulope Ojeyinka. “Evaluating the impact of blockchain technology in healthcare data management: A review of security, privacy, and patient outcomes.” Open Access Research Journal of Science and Technology 10, no. 2 (2024): 013-020.

12. Wetzel, Brandon Matthew. “Ensuring trustworthiness in immutable predictive models using public blockchain: a thesis in Computer Science.” PhD diss., University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, 2024.

13. Trunk, Anna, Hendrik Birkel, and Evi Hartmann. “On the current state of combining human and artificial intelligence for strategic organizational decision making.” Business Research 13, no. 3 (2020): 875-919.

14. Nott, Christopher. “Organizational adaptation to generative AI in cybersecurity: A systematic review.” arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.12060 (2025).

15. Baranidharan, K., R. Mahalakshmi, and S. Anagha. “Blockchain technology for enhancing supply chain transparency: opportunities and challenges.” International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (2025): 345-353.

16. Morshed, Amer, and Laith T. Khrais. “Enhancing Financial Security through Machine Learning: Adoption Challenges in Jordan’s Insurance Fraud Detection.” Insurance Markets and Companies 16(2025): 85–95.

17. Chowdhury, Rakibul Hasan. “Blockchain and AI: Driving the future of data security and business intelligence.” World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews 23, no. 1 (2024): 2559-2570.

18. Spais, George, and George Chryssochoidis. “Trends and future of artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML) algorithms, and data analytics and their applications and implications for digital marketing and digital promotions: G. Spais, G. Chryssochoidis.” Journal of Marketing Analytics 13, no. 2 (2025): 263-266.

19. Al-Husseini, Essam. “The Potential of Employing Blockchain Technologies in Enhancing Operational Transparency of Iraqi Banks: A Foresight Analytical Study.” International Journal of Finance & Banking Studies 14, no. 4 (2025).

20. Kalenzi, Cornelius. “Artificial intelligence and blockchain: how should emerging technologies be governed?.” Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics 7 (2022): 801549.

21. Rugeviciute, Aiste, and Afshin Mehrpouya. “Blockchain, a panacea for development accountability? A study of the barriers and enablers for blockchain’s adoption by development aid organizations.” Frontiers in Blockchain 2 (2019): 15.

22. Poole, Sonja Martin, Sonya A. Grier, Kevin D. Thomas, Francesca Sobande, Akon E. Ekpo, Lez Trujillo Torres, Lynn A. Addington, Melinda Weekes-Laidlow, and Geraldine Rosa Henderson. “Operationalizing critical race theory in the marketplace.” Journal of Public Policy & Marketing 40, no. 2 (2021): 126-142.

23. Liu, Jiajun, and Junhao Wu. “A comprehensive survey on blockchain technology and its applications.” Highlights in Science, Engineering and Technology 85 (2024): 128-138.

24. Oladele, Ifeoluwa, Adeyinka Orelaja, and Oladayo Tosin Akinwande. “Ethical implications and governance of artificial intelligence in business decisions: A deep dive into the ethical challenges and governance issues surrounding the use of artificial intelligence in making critical business decisions.” International Journal of Latest Technology in Engineering Management & Applied Science 13 (2024): 48-56.

25. Sharde, A. “Bias and Fairness in AI Systems: A Study of Causes, Impacts, and Mitigation Strategies.” International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology, 13(2025):1409.

26. Qudus, Lawal. “Cybersecurity governance: Strengthening policy frameworks to address global cybercrime and data privacy challenges.” International Journal of Science and Research Archive 14, no. 1 (2025): 1146-1163.

27. Bahangulu, Julien Kiesse, and Louis Owusu-Berko. “Algorithmic bias, data ethics, and governance: Ensuring fairness, transparency and compliance in AI-powered business analytics applications.” World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews 25, no. 2 (2025): 1746-1763.

28. Behzad, Tina, Mithilesh Kumar Singh, Anthony J. Ripa, and Klaus Mueller. “Fairplay: A collaborative approach to mitigate bias in datasets for improved ai fairness.” Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction 9, no. 2 (2025): 1-30.

29. Xivuri, Khensani, and Hosanna Twinomurinzi. “How AI developers can assure algorithmic fairness.” Discover Artificial Intelligence 3, no. 1 (2023): 27.

30. Saleh, Ahmed M. Shamsan. “Blockchain for secure and decentralized artificial intelligence in cybersecurity: A comprehensive review.” Blockchain: Research and Applications 5, no. 3 (2024): 100193.

31. Enable, A. I. “Personal Data Privacy and Support Cybersecurity.” Advances in the convergence of blockchain and artificial intelligence (2022): 33.

32. Khordadpour, Peyman, and Saeed Ahmadi. “Security and privacy enhancing in blockchain-based IoT environments via anonym auditing.” arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.01356 (2024).

33. Abrahams, Temitayo Oluwaseun, Sarah Kuzankah Ewuga, Simon Kaggwa, Prisca Ugomma Uwaoma, Azeez Olanipekun Hassan, and Samuel Onimisi Dawodu. “Mastering compliance: a comprehensive review of regulatory frameworks in accounting and cybersecurity.” Computer Science & IT Research Journal 5, no. 1 (2024): 120-140.

34. Lates, Ionut, and Catalin Boja. “Automating Attack and Defense Strategies in Cybersecurity.” Informatica Economica 29, no. 1 (2025): 5-15.

35. Jones, Gavin, Dimitrios Kasimatis, Nikolaos Pitropakis, Richard Macfarlane, and William J. Buchanan. “Analysing the role of LLMs in cybersecurity incident management.” International Journal of Information Security 24, no. 6 (2025): 1-14.

36. Humphreys, Declan, Abigail Koay, Dennis Desmond, and Erica Mealy. “AI hype as a cyber security risk: the moral responsibility of implementing generative AI in business.” AI and Ethics 4, no. 3 (2024): 791-804.

37. Jejeniwa, Temitayo Oluwaseun, Noluthando Zamanjomane Mhlongo, and Titilola Olaide Jejeniwa. “AI solutions for developmental economics: opportunities and challenges in financial inclusion and poverty alleviation.” International Journal of Advanced Economics 6, no. 4 (2024): 108-123.

38. Sontan, A. D., & Samuel, S. V. The nexus of AI and cybersecurity: Challenges and opportunities. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 21(2024): 1720.

39. Srivastava, Saurabh, and Khushi Sinha. “From bias to fairness: a review of ethical considerations and mitigation strategies in artificial intelligence.” Int J Res Appl Sci Eng Technol 11 (2023): 2247-51.

40. Saheb, Tahereh, and Tayebeh Saheb. “Mapping ethical artificial intelligence policy landscape: A mixed method analysis.” Science and engineering ethics 30, no. 2 (2024): 9.

41. Talati, D. V. “AI and Information Accountability: Enhancing Global Security through Compliance Frameworks and Data Protection.” International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering, 12(2024).

42. Katta, B. “Ethical AI in Data Engineering: Mitigating Bias in Data-Driven Decision-Making.” Journal of Information Systems Engineering & Management, 10, (2025).

43. Cheong, Ben Chester. “Transparency and accountability in AI systems: safeguarding wellbeing in the age of algorithmic decision-making.” Frontiers in Human Dynamics 6 (2024): 1421273.

44. Roshanaei, Maryam, Mahir R. Khan, and Natalie N. Sylvester. “Navigating AI cybersecurity: evolving landscape and challenges.” Journal of Intelligent Learning Systems and Applications 16, no. 3 (2024): 155-174.

45. Korobenko, Daria, Anastasija Nikiforova, and Rajesh Sharma. “Towards a privacy and security-aware framework for ethical AI: Guiding the development and assessment of AI systems.” In Proceedings of the 25th Annual International Conference on Digital Government Research, pp. 740-753. 2024.

46. Ullah, Zia, Abdul Waheed, Muhammad Ismail Mohmand, Sadia Basar, Mahdi Zareei, and Fausto Granda. “Aicyberchain: Combining ai and blockchain for improved cybersecurity.” IEEE Access 12 (2024): 142194-142214.

47. Brewer, Jordan, Dhru Patel, Dennie Kim, and Alex Murray. “Navigating the challenges of generative technologies: Proposing the integration of artificial intelligence and blockchain.” Business Horizons 67, no. 5 (2024): 525-535.

48. Yang, Qin, and Young-Chan Lee. “Ethical AI in financial inclusion: The role of algorithmic fairness on user satisfaction and recommendation.” Big Data and Cognitive Computing 8, no. 9 (2024): 105.

49. Jumagaliyeva, Ainur, Elmira Abdykerimova, Asset Turkmenbayev, Bulat Serimbetov, Gulzhan Muratova, Zauresh Yersultanova, and Zhomart Zhiyembayev. “Identifying Patterns and Mechanisms of Ai Integration in Blockchain for E-Voting Network Security.” Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies 130, no. 2 (2024).

50. Enyiorji, Prince. “Blockchain-enforced data lineage architectures with formal verification workflows enabling auditable AI decision chains across regulated fintech compliance regimes and supervisory reporting.” International Journal of Science and Research Archive 9, no. 2 (2023): 1201-1217.

51. Akpabio, Edidiong, and Supriya Narad. “Artificial Intelligence and Cybersecurity: Challenges, Opportunities, and Defensive Techniques.” In International Conference on ICT for Sustainable Development, pp. 291-302. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2024.

52. Lottu, Oluwaseun Augustine, Boma Sonimiteim Jacks, Olakunle Abayomi Ajala, and Enyinaya Stefano Okafor. “Towards a conceptual framework for ethical AI development in IT systems.” World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews 21, no. 3 (2024): 408-415.

53. Ayinla, Benjamin Samson, Olukunle Oladipupo Amoo, Akoh Atadoga, Temitayo Oluwaseun Abrahams, Femi Osasona, and Oluwatoyin Ajoke Farayola. “Ethical AI in practice: Balancing technological advancements with human values.” International Journal of Science and Research Archive 11, no. 1 (2024): 1311-1326.

54. Seyi-Lande, Omorinsola Bibire, Oluwabunmi Layode, Henry Nwapali Ndidi Naiho, Gbenga Sheriff Adeleke, Ezekiel Onyekachukwu Udeh, Talabi Temitope Labake, and Ebunoluwa Johnson. “Circular economy and cybersecurity: Safeguarding information and resources in sustainable business models.” Finance & Accounting Research Journal 6, no. 6 (2024): 953-977.

Citation: Muthuraman M. “Block-chain and Artificial Intelligence impact on Entrepreneurial innovativeness by Cyber-security Risk accountability.” J Glob Entrep Manage (2026): 156. DOI: 10.59462/3068-174X.4.4.156.