### **Journal of Gynecology and Maternal Health**



**Open Access** 

# Advancements in Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning for Early Detection and Transfusion Support in Postpartum Hemorrhage

#### Abstract

Postpartum Hemorrhage (PPH) is a significant global health issue, responsible for about 25% of all maternal deaths worldwide. Advances in Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) are beginning to reshape the management and detection of PPH, offering new avenues for improving maternal outcomes. This review explores the use of AI and ML in enhancing PPH detection, particularly focusing on predictive modeling, real-time monitoring, and integration of transfusion support. Despite the promising advancements, challenges such as data quality, integration with clinical workflows, and acceptance by healthcare professionals need to be addressed. Future research should focus on improving the generalizability of Al models across different populations, ensuring data privacy, and enhancing the usability of these technologies in clinical settings. This review highlights the potential of AI and ML to transform PPH management, thus improving the safety and outcomes of childbirth.

#### Introduction

Postpartum Hemorrhage (PPH) remains a leading cause of maternal mortality and morbidity worldwide, responsible for approximately 25% of all maternal deaths globally [1]. A commonly used definition for PPH is a blood loss of over 500 ml within 24 hours after childbirth and poses significant challenges in both diagnosis and management [2]. The rapid identification and timely intervention are critical in preventing severe outcomes and improving maternal health. Advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) have begun to transform various aspects of healthcare, offering new horizons in patient diagnostics and treatment strategies. In the realm of obstetrics, AI and ML technologies are increasingly being explored for their potential to predict and detect early

#### **Review Article**

Amita Nanda¹\*, Pragati Trigunait², Arvind Kumar Yadav³, Ratan Pal⁴, Pragya Dixit⁵ and Girish Singh Kshatriya<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of MS Obstetrics and Gynecology, ABVIMS & RML Hospital, New Delhi, India

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of MS Obstetrics and Gynecology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Mangalagiri, Andhra Pradesh, India

<sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Transfusion Medicine, Armed Forces Transfusion Centre, New Delhi, India

<sup>4</sup>NRI Academy of Medical Sciences, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

<sup>5</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of MD Transfusion Medicine, Government Medical College, Jagdalpur, Chhattisgarh, India

\*Correspondence: Amita Nanda, Department of MS Obstetrics and Gynecology, ABVIMS & RML Hospital, New Delhi, India, Email: dramitananda@gmail.com

Received: 01 May 2024; Processed: 04 June 2024;

Accepted: 08 June 2024

**Copyright:** © 2024 Nanda A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

signs of complications such as PPH. These technologies are capable of analyzing large datasets to identify patterns that may not be immediately apparent to human observers, thus providing a crucial advantage in anticipating and preventing severe clinical events [3].

The integration of AI into healthcare has been met with enthusiasm for its potential to enhance diagnostic accuracy, personalize treatment, and optimize patient outcomes. Specifically, in the context of PPH, AI algorithms can be employed to analyze risk factors, historical health data, and real-time vital signs to predict the likelihood of PPH occurring. This predictive capability is essential in obstetric care, where quick decision-making can substantially alter outcomes [4]. Al and ML are not just tools for prediction; they also play a crucial role in monitoring. Real-time monitoring systems equipped with ML algorithms can continuously assess a patient's condition and alert healthcare providers to subtle changes that may precede a PPH event. Such systems are invaluable in settings where the patient-to-provider ratio is high, and continuous human monitoring is challenging [5].

Despite the promising advances, the adoption of AI and ML in clinical settings faces several challenges. The accuracy of these technologies greatly depends on the quality and quantity of the data they are trained on. Inaccuracies in data or biases introduced during the machine learning process can lead to less reliable outputs, which in critical fields like obstetrics, can have serious repercussions [6]. Moreover, integrating these advanced technologies into existing healthcare workflows and systems poses logistical and ethical considerations that must be addressed to facilitate their effective use. While the landscape of obstetric care is being reshaped by innovations in AI and ML, significant work remains to be done to ensure these tools are reliable, effective, and equitable. As these technologies evolve, they hold the promise of significantly improving the detection and management of PPH, ultimately enhancing maternal safety and health outcomes.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Literature search strategy

The literature search was conducted through various academic databases including PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science to ensure comprehensive coverage of recent studies on AI and ML applications in the early detection of postpartum hemorrhage (PPH). The search strategy was tailored to include a combination of keywords such as "postpartum hemorrhage", "AI", "ML", "early detection", and "predictive modeling". Additionally, other literature sources and conference proceedings were reviewed to capture emerging research and innovations that have not yet been published in peer-reviewed journals.

#### Data extraction and analysis

Relevant articles were selected based on specific inclusion criteria: studies must have utilized AI or ML in the context of PPH. Exclusion criteria included non-English articles and those not involving empirical research. Data extracted from the articles included author names, publication year, study objectives, methodologies, key findings, and the type of ML algorithms used. The synthesis of extracted data involved qualitative comparisons of different ML approaches and their effectiveness in predicting PPH.

#### Al and ML technologies in PPH detection

Recent research has explored various Al and ML methodologies to improve the detection and management of postpartum hemorrhage (PPH), including aspects directly related to transfusion support. A key study demonstrated the utility of machine learning in analyzing factors like fibrinogen levels at the time of PPH diagnosis to predict the severity of hemorrhage, which is crucial for managing transfusion needs efficiently. This approach underscores the potential of predictive modeling in assessing hemorrhage severity and preparing necessary transfusion responses preemptively [7]. Moreover, another significant study focused on PPH management within a perinatal network, analyzing data from a vast number of cases to identify trends and risk factors associated with PPH that requires transfusion. This study highlighted the importance of tailored management strategies that could benefit from Al-driven insights, improving outcomes through better prediction and preparedness for severe cases [8].

These studies collectively illustrate the promising integration of AI and ML in enhancing predictive accuracy and operational efficiency in managing PPH, particularly concerning optimizing transfusion practices and improving maternal health outcomes. Predictive Modeling Enhanced for Transfusion Support has also been suggested. It includes advanced predictive models like decision trees, support vector machines (SVMs), and neural networks are further refined to include parameters specifically relevant to transfusion needs. By incorporating variables such as previous transfusion history, blood type compatibility, and real-time hemoglobin levels, these models not only predict

the occurrence of PPH but also anticipate the potential need for blood transfusions, thereby streamlining the preparation process in high-risk cases.

#### Risk stratification with transfusion readiness

Al-driven risk stratification tools now integrate transfusion readiness as a critical parameter. By evaluating the risk of PPH alongside indicators for transfusion requirements (like baseline anemia or known coagulopathies), these tools can alert clinical teams to prepare blood products well in advance. This proactive approach ensures that blood transfusions, when needed, are timely and matched to the patient's specific blood type and condition, reducing the risk of adverse reactions.

## Real-time monitoring systems linking to transfusion services

Real-time monitoring systems that track vital signs and other indicators are now equipped to trigger automatic alerts to hospital transfusion services when a PPH event is detected. These systems use continuous data analysis to monitor blood loss and vital signs deterioration, activating protocols for immediate blood product support. This integration facilitates a seamless transition from detection to treatment, crucial for the effective management of PPH.

## Implementation challenges of AI in PPH detection and management

Data quality and availability: The quality and comprehensiveness of the data used to train AI models present significant challenges. Effective AI applications require high-quality data that accurately represents the diverse patient population it serves. However, healthcare data often suffer from issues like missing values, inconsistencies, and bias, which can adversely affect the performance of AI systems. Additionally, the availability of comprehensive datasets, which are crucial for training robust models, is often limited due to privacy concerns and logistical constraints [9].

Integration with clinical workflows: Integrating AI tools into existing clinical workflows poses another significant challenge. These tools must be designed to fit seamlessly into the electronic health records (EHR) systems that hospitals use. This integration requires careful planning

to ensure that AI tools enhance, rather than disrupt, the workflow of healthcare professionals. Furthermore, these tools need to be compatible with various software systems used across different healthcare facilities, which often have unique configurations and requirements [10].

#### Acceptance and trust by healthcare professionals:

The acceptance and trust of healthcare professionals in Al technologies are critical for their successful implementation. While Al can enhance decision-making and efficiency, there can be resistance due to fears of job displacement, concerns about the accuracy of Al recommendations, and a lack of understanding of how Al tools work. To build trust, Al systems need to be transparent in their operations and for their recommendations to be interpretable by human users. Training and education are also crucial in helping healthcare providers understand and effectively use Al tools [11].

#### **Future Directions**

Emerging technologies: Advancements in AI and ML continue to evolve, with several emerging technologies poised to enhance PPH detection significantly. Technologies such as federated learning can enable AI models to learn from vast amounts of decentralized data while maintaining privacy. This approach could improve model generalizability across different populations. Additionally, edge computing can facilitate real-time data analysis directly at the point of care, potentially speeding up the detection and response to PPH incidents. Integration of AI with wearable technologies could also provide continuous monitoring of physiological parameters, offering early warnings of potential complications.

Research needs: Current research has demonstrated the potential of AI in PPH management, yet gaps remain that need addressing. There is a need for studies that evaluate the long-term impacts of AI interventions on maternal health outcomes. Research is also needed to address the variability in data quality across different health systems, which can affect AI performance. Furthermore, ethical considerations, including patient consent and data privacy, require ongoing research to develop guidelines that protect patient rights while enabling technological advancements.

#### Conclusion

This review highlighted the use of AI and ML in detecting and managing PPH and discussed the challenges related to data quality, integration into clinical workflows, and acceptance by healthcare professionals. It also introduced emerging technologies that could further enhance PPH detection. The integration of AI and ML into PPH management offers potential benefits such as improved prediction accuracy, personalized patient care, and optimized resource allocation. However, for these technologies to be effectively integrated into clinical practice, healthcare providers must be adequately trained, that the systems are transparent, and that they complement existing clinical judgment.

The future of AI and ML in improving maternal health outcomes looks promising, particularly in the realm of PPH management. As these technologies advance, they hold the potential not only to enhance healthcare delivery but also to transform it, making pregnancy and childbirth safer for women worldwide. Continuous innovation, coupled with rigorous research and ethical considerations, will be essential to realize the full potential of AI and ML in obstetrics.

#### References

- Say, Lale, Doris Chou, Alison Gemmill and Özge Tunçalp, et al. "Global causes of maternal death: a WHO systematic analysis." *Lancet Glob Health* 2 (2014): e323-e333.
- 2. Bellavia, Andrea, Susanna D. Mitro, Russ Hauser and Tamarra James-Todd. "Paternal bias: the impact of not accounting for paternal confounders in reproductive epidemiological studies." *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 222 (2020): 87-88.
- Hamet, Pavel and Johanne Tremblay. "Artificial intelligence in medicine." *Metabolism* 69 (2017): S36-S40.
- Romero, R. and Chaiworapongsa, T. "Predictive analytics in obstetrics: tool for risk prediction models including artificial intelligence techniques." Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am 645-658. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ogc.2020.07.006
- Wang, Henry E., Mohammed M. Kabeto, Marquita Gray and Virginia G. Wadley, et al. "Trajectory of cognitive decline after sepsis." *Crit Care Med* 49 (2021): 1083-1094.

- Rule, Adam, Michael F. Chiang and Michelle R. Hribar. "Using electronic health record audit logs to study clinical activity: a systematic review of aims, measures and methods." J Am Med Inform Assoc 27 (2020): 480-490.
- Cortet, Marion, C. Deneux-Tharaux, C. Dupont and C. Colin, et al. "Association between fibrinogen level and severity of postpartum hemorrhage: secondary analysis of a prospective trial." *Br J Anaesth* 108 (2012): 984-989.
- Marocchini, M., J. Lauféron, C. Quantin and P. Sagot. "Postpartum hemorrhage with transfusion: Trends, near misses, risk factors and management at the scale of a perinatal network." *J Gynecol Obstet Hum* Reprod 46 (2017): 455-460.
- Esmaeilzadeh, Pouyan. "Use of Al-based tools for healthcare purposes: a survey study from consumers' perspectives." *Medical Inform Decis Mak* 20 (2020): 1-19.
- Zamzam, Aizat Hilmi, Ahmad Khairi Abdul Wahab, Muhammad Mokhzaini Azizan and Suresh Chandra Satapathy, et al. "A systematic review of medical equipment reliability assessment in improving the quality of healthcare services." Front Public Health 9 (2021): 753951.

 De Panfilis, Ludovica, Carlo Peruselli, Silvia Tanzi and Carlo Botrugno. "Al-based clinical decisionmaking systems in palliative medicine: Ethical challenges." BMJ Support Palliat Care 13 (2023): 183-189.

**Citation:** Nanda, Amita, et al. "Advancements in Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning for Early Detection and Transfusion Support in Postpartum Haemorrhage." *J Gynecol Matern Health* (2024): 106. DOI: 10.59462/JGMH.2.1.106